P27

G R. S 58
Previous references
Rl. 229-06 1-12
S&A 614-07 1-2
" 34-03 1-6
&R Late 1889 references

✓

1909.
(Municipal)

File No 589-07
Serial Nos. 1-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1-14

Part B for Jan 1909

SUBJECT

Pension to Shanbhog Hanmappa of the Bangalore City Mkt.

1 From the Offg. Comptr. No 7024 of 21-6-08 states for reasons stated that the Shanbhog is not entitled to pension

2. To Dir. No 289 of 8-7-09. Calls for a report as to the circumstances under which the Shanbhog was retired from service in 1901

3 From Do. No 11 of 14-7-09 forwards the report

4 To Do. No 2354 of 5-9-08. Forwards Copy of Comptr's (Rl. 1561) to Calls for the Mun'l-Council's resolution in the matter.

5 From Do No 1358 of 12-08 Forwards Copy of Mul Council's resolution recommending the grant of a pension [illegible] Calls for.

6 to 8. [illegible]

A [illegible] To Do. No 8668 of 5-3-09. Calls for information re the Shanbhog's case

12 From Do. No 251 of 3-09 Forwards
" 51 of 29-4-09 Do

10. To the Asstt., Brg. Secl. - noting for calling for a copy of the claim petn. stated to have been presented by Ramappa & Ramappa in Sl. 9 supra

11 From the Shubhag Ramappa (Petr.) Petn. dt. 5/9 praying for the early settlement of his Case

12. From the Asstt. Regr. No. 5726 of 89 dt. 5/9. Enclg Sub. Reg. Copy of the claim called for in Sl. 10 above

13 To 80; D.C. Bangalore & Complts- No. 283-5 dt 8-7-89 directs that the retired Shubhag Ramappa be granted a pension and appeal Case

14. To the Petr. No. 2869 & Reg. Intimating the above

G.R.S 11] 1907-08

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mb.)

NOTES

File No. 589 '07

File Heading

Pension to Shanbhog Narasabha of the Ramadurg Municipality

From

The Offg Comptroller

No 7024 Dated 24 6. '08 Received 24 6 1908

Diary No 9753	Enclos –	Spare Copies. –	Serial No 1

Brief Abstract of Letter

States that for reasons given Shanbhog Narasabha is entitled to pension and that if the hereditary Shanbhogship is to be abolished it is Narasappa's right to succeed him that would get a pension

NOTES AND ORDERS

y
7

Shanbhog Narasabha retired from the service in June 1907 The Govt Order of the 8th January 1908 directs the grant of a pension to him under the rules with effect from the 10th August 1907. The Comptroller thinks

Notes—First sheet.

GPB -30,000- 20-3-06

G R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mk. File No. 589 of 1907

Serial No.

states that at the time of his retirement in 1901 he was only 49 years old and had not put in fully 20 years qualifying service so as to entitle him to a pension. The fact of his nephew having been abducted in this case is also advanced as a factor invalidating the pension. It is however respectfully submitted that the question of the validity or otherwise of the retirement of Narayanbhai in 1901 does not arise inasmuch as the Govt have implicitly accepted the President's action by directing the grant of a pension to him with effect from the 10th August 1907 under the February pension rules the Thanbhaj was not entitled to a pension; both in consideration of the loss of the "kabi" he was ordered to be granted a pension as a special case the amount of such pension being regulated by the Service Regulations. The fact of Narayanbhai's nephew having been abducted to succeed him was before the Govt when the case of the 6th Jan 1902 was passed Submitted for orders. Por H ji, perhaps Mukund may be consulted.

30/5 2 Ack the Proceedings.

Note.—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

RL File No. 589 of 1907.

Serial No.

appointed in his place he being a Lashkar Shanbhog, that pending enquiry in the Revenue Deptt. as to the nature of his appointment Narayanabhai's application for retirement was not considered and his nephew continued to act for him on the full pay of his uncle till March 1905 when the man was formally retired and Rangappa confirmed in his appointment.

In the foregoing circumstances, Shanbhog Narayanappa will have, perhaps, to be granted invalid pension as a special case as provided for by the Assistant Secretary in para 2 supra.

5. Submitted for orders

Sd/- [illegible] 27.7.08 Secy

Sd/- 27/7/08

7674 29-7-08

6. Please consult the Comptroller unofficially - Sd/- 31/July

Ex-no 11708-R31-7-08-

7. Forwarded unofficially to the Comptroller for favour of opinion

By order

31/7/08 Secretary

Note—Contin sheet.

G.P.S.—50 000—17-2-07

T.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

NL File No. 589 of 1907

Serial No.	
	Note 11 Dated August 1908
	Para 2 of the President's letter (Flag B) clearly states that Rangappa was appointed Shanbogue in accordance with the prayer of Narnappa that "His nephew may be appointed in his place by virtue of the post being hereditary". At that time he did not raise the question of his being granted a pension. His first application for it was in March 1907, and Government rightly informed him in April 1907, that his claim for pension was inadmissible, as his hereditary right had been recognised by the appointment of his nephew to succeed him But the President again addressed the Government in October 1907 (Flag Y) on the subject of granting a pension to Narnappa on the ground that as Government had recently passed an order abolishing the hak system, the petitioner wished to avail himself of the privilege of getting a pension to himself. In doing so, the President appears to have lost sight of the fact that the ... Shanbogue had already had the benefit of the huk by the appointment of his nephew, and that under these circumstances, he cannot have the other benefit also, viz., that of getting a pension for himself. This representation of the President based on a misapprehension of the facts, led the Government to pass the orders of January 1908, sanctioning a pension also to the Shanbogue.
	Since the appointment of the nephew was in virtue of the huk, the uncle cannot get a pension in addition, for himself.

J.S Chakravarti

Note—Contn. sheet.

SPD—30.000—15-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Rl File No. 8-8q of 190)

Serial No.

Submitted with the Comptroller's
No. 0 Opinion for orders

Mad. Leg. 68 In the view taken by the Financial Secretary, the order of Govt dated 6th Jan 1908 will have to be modified ~~as regards leave~~ and the orequal order dated 12th April 1907 declining to grant any pension to Narainappa restored

Secy) 14 8 08 Addl)

Appl. of the S. Reflex

A Municipal Chamber with a hereditary hall not being entitled to a pension and the hall belonging to Narainappa's family not having been abolished till after Narainappa's retirement and after the appointment of his nephew in virtue of the hall I am inclined to agree in the view expressed by the Fin. Secy. As regards that the pension was not granted when the order of 6-1-[illegible]

Dewan [illegible]

1894 20-8-1908

The case requires further opinion. [illegible]

Note—Contin sheet.





G R S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 589 of 1907

7

Serial No

For this purpose a copy of the Comptroller's letter No. 7024 of 24 June 08 should be sent to the President with a request that he will be good enough to place it before the Municipal Council of Jaffna for their resolution on the subject, & with his own remarks & opinion on the case — Had Nanamma no means left her than the nephew who has succeeded her? [If he has a son or son-in-law the fact of the temporary & the fact of the pay of the appt being reduced in the successor's hands are important points not noticed in the Comptroller's letter.]

6 Addressed as directed.
Perhaps draft please
28 8 08

7 Draft submitted
19.08

4 Docket No. 2384 dated the 5th Septr 1908 to the President

Forwards copy of Comptroller's letter (serial 1 ante) on the matter and requests him to place the same before the Municipal Council and submit a copy of their resolutions with his own remarks and opinion

Nurr—Contn sheet

G R S—12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

MB File No 589 of 1907

Serial No	
	on the Case and information whether Narayan has no nearer legal heir than the nephews who succeeded him
5 20	Letter No 188 dated the 7th Dec 08 from the President.
	Submits for orders a copy of the Bomb Council's Resolution with as the uniformity exists at the time the Shanbhag was ordered he much be given a pension Condoning his services towards up the period of 20 years, if not be, and adds that the Shanbhag has no nearer heir than his nephews who succeeded him.
21	Submitted for orders
9153/15-12-08	MLD Sd/- 14 Cr) 14 12 08 MLD
22/12/08	The Resolution of the Municipal Council has to be accepted I think
	Sd/- 22 Dec 08
	Sd/- 23 Dec 08
23 11403/24-12-08	I am afraid I have to differ with the Hon'ble Councillor. The financial exigencies appears to be sound. If the Hon Councillor MLD 28 12.

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

352 Ord File No. 589 of 1907

9

Serial No.

Whether the matter may be referred to Council

19/2/1909

24 Resubmitted

9960/23-2-09

23/2/09

25 I feel some diffidence in having to say more than what I wrote on the 27 Dec 08 25 Feby 23 24

26 There being a difference of opinion the matter will be placed before Council.

Circulate

25/2/09

25/2/09

27 The opinion of the Financial Secretary may be accepted. The claim for a pension cannot be sustained

27/2/09

28

Note.—Confin. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R., S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mk File No. 589 of 1907

Serial No.	
	29 Draft submitted —
	Mk 29/3/09 30 Issue
	2-3-09 Mk
6	31 Docket No 882 dated the 5th March 1909 from the President
	Enquires whether Narasakha Pethen who succeeds him as Mk, Shanbhog is Shanbhog Rangabha who misappropriated the Sale-proceeds Money and in connection with which he was recently convicted by the City Magistrate
7	32 Letter No 257 dated the 9th March 1909 from the President.
	Replies in the affirmative —
	33 Submitted for orders
	Mk 15/3/09 34 Submitted for orders.
	2nd Cr) 17 3 09 Mk
	35 —
	Seen Mk 19/3/09
	36 This is ordered to be placed before Council
	Secy) 19 3 09 Mk
	37 Submitted to Dewan (through Chief Secy)
10248 23-3-1909	38
	Seen Mk 24/3/09
	39 Please circulate to Members of Council
	24/3/09 Mk

Note—Contn sheet.

UPB—30,000—17-8-07

Serial No.

(b) The Financial Secretary's opinion seems to be sound and has in an ordinary case to be accepted But in disposing of this matter, we have to take into consideration the fact that Govt ordered that this man be granted a pension. It is true that a qualification is added 'in accordance with the rules'. This however should properly be taken to refer to rules of calculation and not to the right to the pension itself. The case for Govt is rendered somewhat stronger by the resolution of the Municipality which we called for. I am therefore inclined to the opinion that consistently with our former order, a pension should be granted

(c) The fact that his nephew committed defalcation does not seem to affect the case, unless

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 5-89 of 1907

Serial No.

And copies that in connection with the action taken by the Deputy Commissioner under Regulation I of 1893 Nanjappa & Narasappa the father & uncle respectively of Ringappa have put in a claim petition which is being enquired into by the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore & that the case is posted for hearing to the 20th Proximo.

Submitted

69 Please call for a copy of the claim petition

21/4 22-4-09 Illegible

50 Draft calling for a copy of the claim petition is submitted

51 Issue

Illegible 23-4-09 Illegible

22/4

52 Docket No. 1701 dated the 22nd April 1909 to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore calling for a copy of the claim petition filed in the case between Nanjappa & Ringappa & Narasappa & Ringappa

Illegible

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPE—00429—12-5-08

Serial No.

the D-O reply received from the Vice President, dated 20th April 1909 and the copy of the petition presented by Narayappa and Rangappa to the Amildar of Bangalore are submitted for perusal They show that the two persons named above and Rangappa ~~were~~ are entitled to interest.

2nd Cr) 17 5 09

(496)

(Please see pp 11 & 12 of Notes for my opinion)

The person who committed defalcation was a joint member of the family along with the man claiming this pension. However I am not prepared to say that on that account we can deprive him of the pension, if he is otherwise entitled to it. Unless there are precedents for

D129—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

ML File No. 589 of 1907

Serial No.

Which pensions once granted are withdrawn on the ground of ~~misapprehension~~ of rules, I do not think that we should be justified in revising the order of Govt. I should like to know if there are any rules on precedents.

[illegible]

1 Mr. 18/5/09
Br II may kindly have the notes.

2 Forwarded to Br II
20 5 09

? Regd 1031

Branch II is not aware of any exact precedents on the point. In one case, an officer was retired under the order of the Chief Court which held out the hope of giving him the pension admissible under the rules but owing to a technical objection under the rules it was declared that the man could not be granted any pension. (Vide Sln 34 of 03 - Sr 2 614 of 07 herewith pasting)

no bearing on present case - drop

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPS—20.000—12.08.08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jud File No. 589 of 1903

Serial No

There are however instances in which Govt have relaxed the specific conditions for the grant of pensions (Please G os Nos 14782-821 dt 13/4/03 Pte 1370 and No 5562 dt 13-11-99) Nis 1699

There was recently under consideration the case of a gumasta of a Sub-registry office, part of whose service is not qualifying but the similar service of other gumastahs - was treated apparently by mistake, as qualifying and pension granted in respect of it It was decided that that the service should not be treated as qualifying, Govt have however passed no orders as to whether the pensions already sanctioned by mistake should be continued or withdrawn Narasappa's case is however distinguishable from the other cases referred to above in that in the latter specific amounts of pensions have actually been sanctioned while in Narasappa's case Govt have only directed the payment of what pension he was entitled to under the rules and the Comptroller has in consulting

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPB—30,000—18-5-03

Serial No.

the usual enquiry into the claim and submitting his report, stated that no pension is due under the rules.

To Bo IV
on 31-5-09

1524 W/14

Submitted for orders
2 6.09

(673)

I have already noted my opinion on merits I think that consistently with the previous order of 1907 a pension should be granted in this case

5/6/9

Dewan

1083 7.6.09

Members stand as shown below:—

For pension	Against pension
Municipal Counsel	Mr V.P.M.
Mr I.A.R.	Finlayson
Mr H.V.R.	Mr K.P.P.

Votes are equally divided — If Mr V.P.M's vote be eliminated, the Ayes predominate — As this Order rules the Finlayson's opinion the case will be put up for orders with

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPB—28,623—14.5.08

Ml 589-'07 4

Submitted to His Highness.

O These papers relate to the grant of a pension to Narnappa, a retired Shanbhog of the Bangalore City Municipality.

2. Narnappa succeeded his uncle Narasappa as Shanbhog of the Bangalore City Municipality in 1871 and continued in office till June 1901 when, owing to his failing eyesight, he was granted leave. Narnappa having no nearer male heirs to succeed to the "Hak", his nephew Rangappa was appointed to officiate for him.

3. As Narnappa's health did not improve, he applied in August 1903 for being retired, with the prayer that his nephew who was acting for him might be confirmed by virtue of the post being hereditary. Pending inquiry in the Revenue Department as to the nature of these appointments, Naranappa's application for retirement was not sanctioned till March 1905, when Rangappa was permanently appointed as Municipal Shanbhog.

4. In November 1906, Naranappa submitted to the

the late Dewan a memorial in which among other prayers he asked for the grant of a pension. While this memorial was under consideration, Government in their order No.1340-1/Ml.10-06-8 dated 10th August 1907, on the application of Shanbhog Ramaiya (another Municipal Shanbhog) for a pension, directed that the system of "Hak" be done away with in the Bangalore City Municipality and that pension might be granted to Shanbhog Ramaiya in accordance with the rules. In accordance with this ruling, the Bangalore City Municipal Council recommended that Naranappa might be granted a pension with effect from the 10th August 1907, the date of the Government order referred to above. Government in their order No.6294-5/Ml.229-06-dated 6th January 1908 approved of the Municipal Council's recommendation.

5. In his letter No.7024/Pen.534 dated 24th June 1908, the Officiating Comptroller pointed out that an invalid pension or any other kind of pension was inadmissible to Naranappa for two reasons, viz. -

a) that as Naranappa was considerably under 60 years (only 49 years) when he retired in 1901, the relaxation made in the last sentence of article 493(c) regarding the acceptance of medical certificates bearing later date than the date of application for

ML 589-09 (22)

the soundness of Mr. Chakravarti's contention, says that apart from the fact that the Government have passed an order sanctioning the grant of a pension to Naranappa, his case is rendered somewhat stronger by the Resolution of the Municipality which Government themselves had called for, and that consistently with the orders of Government, a pension should be granted. The votes therefore stand as shown below:-

For pension.	Against pension.
1) Municipal Council	1) Mr. Chakravarti.
2) Mr. V.P. Madhava Rao (6th January 1908).	2) Mr. V.P.Madhava Rao 19th February 1909).
3) Mr. T. Ananda Row.	3) Mr.K.P.Puttanna Chetty.
4) Mr. H.V.Nanjundayya.	

I am still of opinion that Naranappa should get a pension, and as my views are not in accord with that of the Financial Adviser, I submit the case for His Highness' orders.

MR 589-07 (23)

8066

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

18 6 09 215

Question of the grant of a pension to Narnappa, a retired Shanbhog of the Bangalore City Municipality.

Order.

1024 236 24/9/09

I agree with the Dewan that a pension may be granted to Naranappa as a special case.

KRW 23/6/09

Leey 24/June

BrTu Issue orders sanctioning pension (File to be sent to ... for approval)

26/6/09

26/6/09 Leeyarkar 26/6/09

29/6 29/6

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

ML File No. 589 of 1907 50 66 24

Serial No

Draft ordered. The file will have to be sent to Hon. Secy for perusal (vide Hon Secy's order of the 28th inst.)
MLD 29/6/09
Forwarded to Secy for perusal of the order before issue of the draft.
30.6.09. MLD

Sub? 1/7/09

21/7/09

3/7/09

3/7/09

File received.
May the two drafts in the file be now issued?
MLD 5/7/09
Issue
5.7.09 MLD

13 G O No 283-5 G 8.7.09. Directs the retirement Shumbhoo Narayanbhat be granted pension as a special case.

14 Endt to Peshkar. Informs him that pension has been granted to Smt. President to grant him a pension as a special case with effect from the 1st Aug.

Note.—Contin. sheet.

GPB—50,000—15-3-08

No. 7024 W 2141 Dec'r 1910
Pe 634
D 9753

Office of the Comptroller, Mysore Government,

Dated Bangalore, the 24 June 1908.

From

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esq.,

Offg. Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

K.S. Chendrasekhara Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 6294-5/M1.229-06-12 dated the 6th January 1908, directing that the retired Shanbogue Narnappa of the Bangalore City Municipality be granted a ... pension under the rules with effect from 10th August 1907, I have the honour to state that Narnappa was only 49 years old in 1901 at the time of his retirement and had put in a qualifying service of 29 years, 7 months and 24 days. As his retirement under these circumstances was not valid under the rules, the case was returned to the President with the observation that no pension was admissible to the applicant. That Officer has now submitted a certificate dated 30th April 1908 granted by the District Medical Officer of Bangalore declaring the applicant unfit for further service and proposes to regard the case as one for Invalid pension under article 310 Mysore Service Regulations. The reason assigned therein for Narnappa's incapacity is old age and developing cataract in his left eye. At 49 years of age one cannot be considered as unfit for further service on the ground of old age and the other disability may not have existed at the time of his retirement. The relaxation made in the last sentence of article 493 (c) does not I think, extend to such long intervals between date of retirement

and date of certificate as in the present case - over 7 years - In these circumstances there is no case for the grant of an Invalid pension and I report the matter for orders of Government.

2 Another point connected with the claim of the applicant for pension is also submitted for orders It is stated in the order under reference that Narnappa's nephew succeeded him as .. Shanbogue, when he retired This succession recognized the hereditary hak of Narnappa and it is therefore not clear how it is stated that his hak has been done away with As a matter of fact he has already had the benefit of his hak by the appointment of his nephew to succeed him and the grant of a pension to him now, would be in addition to the recognition of his hereditary right to the office, and not in lieu of it If the hereditary nature of the appointment is to be abolished, it is the present Shanbogue - the nephew of Narnappa - that would get a pension, as he cannot, when he retires secure the office for any of his relative nominees but not Narnappa whose hak has been allowed by the appointment of his nephew to his office

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg Comptroller

T.G.S

3

GRS 29A]

1907-08

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev. Dept

To The Presidt
Bangalore Cantt
Bombay Council

Dated
Despatched 5-7-08 —
Received

General No 230
No ml 589-07-2
Enclosure No

Referring to G. O
No 6294-5 dated the
ml 229-08-12
6th January 1908 relative
to the grant of pension to
the heirs Shambhoy
Varambha, I have the
honour to request that
the circumstances under
which the said Shambhoy
was reduced from the
service in 1901 may be
be reported to G.O. Cn

Signed 7/7. 7/7/08

MGCP—10,000—6-2-08

5

1405

Ml 589-07

813

B M 109]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

City, Bangalore, 14th July 1908

No 11

Enclosure———

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Council,

Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 230/ Ml 589-07-2 dated the 8th Instant, I have the honour to state that owing to his failing eye-sight, Head Shanbhog Naranappa was granted leave on his nephew Ranagappa's substitute in June 1901

2 As his eye-sight did not improve but grew worse in course of time, Naranappa in August 1903 applied for being retired with the prayer that his nephew who was acting for him might be appointed in his place by virtue of the post being hereditary

3 Pending enquiry in the Revenue Department as to the nature of these appointments, Naranappa application for retirement was not sanctioned and Rangappa continued to act for him on the full pay o his uncle Viz , Rs 15/- till March 1905 when

G P B—(08-2-1 06

he

7

405

GRS 29

1908-09

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev Dept

To The President
Bangalore City Mb
Commercial

Dated

Despatched 5-9-08

Received

General No. 2364

No. Cme 559-07-4

Enclosure No

This letter no 11 dated
the 4th July 1908 in the
matter of the grant of
pension to Shambhoga
Narasappa.

Referring to the above,
I have the honour to for-
ward herewith a Copy
of the Commissioner's letter
no 2024 dated the 26th
June 1908 stating
in the [illegible]

10

Extract from the

Proceedings of the Bangalore City Municipal Council at an Ordinary Meeting held on the 24th September 1908.

Present.

swami Naik, Esq President.

Rao, Esq., Vice President.

s, Esq , Amildar, Bangalore Taluk

Absent.

Lt-Col J. Smyth, I M.S ,
Senr Surgn. & San Commr

J. G Tait, Esq , M.A ,
Principal, Central College.

K. Krishnaswamy Iyengar Esq.,
Ex Engr ,Bangalore Divn .

Municipal Councillors.

Messrs

I. Bronson

D.B Ramachandra Moodr

B.K.Garuda Char

N. Ramabhadriya

B Oosman Khan

M. Subbiaya

B. Appajiya

H Ramaiya

M.K.Krishnamurti Rau

S Venkatarangiengar

D. Venkataramayya

C.S Dorasami Iyer

Veerabhadra Chetty

B Gangiah

B.Bsappa

G H.Krumbiegal

C. Krishnamurti

B V.Ramaswami Chetty.

8 The General & Revenue Secretary's docket No 2384/M1 589-07-4 dated the 5th September 1908, forwarding copy of the Comptroller letter No 7024 dated the 24th June 1908, stating that in the circumstances therein detailed, shanbhog Naranappa is not entitled to a pension and calling for the views of the Municipal Council on the subject

Resolved that as the infirmity existed at the time the Shanbhog was retired, the Municipal Council are unanimously of opinion that in consideration of his long services, he must be given a pension nd if necessary, the Government may be pleased to condone his services to make the period 30 years

"COPY"

Sd/ J Kumaraswami Naik,
President

G.R.S 29A]

1908-09

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev Dept

To The President,
Bangalore City

Dated
Despatched Drumb Nowmber
Received 5.3.09
General No 6682
No Mel 5870-886

Enclosure No.

His letter dt. 13th Inst. the 7th December 1908 stating that the retired Shanbhog Narasappa has no nearer heir than his nephew who succeeded him as Drumb Shanbhog

Referring to the above has the honour to request the President to be so good as to state whether the said nephew is the Shanbhog

URB--10,000--9-4-06.

Shanthaya Rangatha, who
was arrested for the
sale-proceeds money of
the school of which he was
[illegible] and in connection
with which he was recently
convicted by the City Magistrate-

yesterday"

Yours
Fr Leech
[illegible]

SK
3/3
NNM
4/8

D6296 13

B M 109]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

City, Bangalore, 9th March 1909

No 251

Enclosure———

From

mb 589-07
mc 7

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Council,
Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

SIR,

Your docket No 8082/M 589-07-6 dated the 5th Instant

- - - - - - - - -

I have the honour to state that Shanbhog Rangappa referred to is the nephew of the retired Shanbhog Aranappa

I have the honour to be

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

for President

G P B—600-2-1 09

G.R S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to 6296

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Municipal
, the 190

Date of issue 17-4-09

Signed by Carlton

Circular

C4 589/09
File No.

Serial No. 8

No 10250
mnl 5-9-07-
17-4-09

To

Sir,

I am directed to enquire whether Shanbhog Ranganna, who deposited the Rs 500 for papers' money and was in consequence convicted by the Bangalore 4th Magistrate, and his uncle Shanbhog Narasappa lived as a joint family. I am to request the favour of a very early reply

Yours obedient servant
[illegible]
for Secy to Govt

SUBJECT

17/4/09

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPO—10,000—60-4-08.

Ml 589-07 589 II Aug 9 D 7482/8

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

D O No 8/IV Br IV 2111 8/9

City Bangalore 20th April 1909

Dear Mr Maclean

Your D.O No 10280 dated the 17th Instant, enquiring whether Shanbhog Rangappa and his uncle Naranappa lived as a joint family

I beg to state that as far as our information goes, Rangappa is un-divided from his father and uncle, and they are all members of a joint family

2 In connection with the action taken by the Deputy Commissioner under Regulation No I of 1893,

(G.R.S. 26] 2

17

File No. 5[illegible]07

Serial No. 10.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 10701

mul 589-07-

928-4-09

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Municipal

, the 190

To The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore 'B'

Date of issue 28-4-09.

Signed by [illegible]

In forwarding herewith a copy of para 2 of letter No. 81 dated the 20th April 1909 received from the President Bangalore City Municipal Council, I am to request that a copy of the Claim petition said to have been presented by Nanjappa & Narasha Father and nine Cousins of Peethuru of Rangasha as stated therein, may

over

SUBJECT



List of enclosures,

Copied by [illegible] 26/4

Examined by [illegible] 27/4/13

GPO—10,000—23-5-09

Dispatch 5/11 ml585-07

1907 SL-11

No. 154

Name of Petitioner, Narasappa

Village, Bangalore City

Taluk, Bangalore

District, —— Do

Date of Arn, 3d May

Date of Receipt, 4th —— Do

Date of disposal,

No of Enclosure, "

To the Honourable
(illegible)
The undersigned
Wishes

ABSTRACT

Petitioner
a Shanbogue in
City Municipal
Bangalore and
was retired on
pension — But
though 3 or 4 years
have elapsed his
pension has not
as yet been settled
Prays for an early
Settlement of his
case —

It is
stated that the
petitioner has

Eg

and to be placed
before the Council
[illegible] to a difference
[illegible] between
the lake down and
the [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] down) —

12
5.4.7

09

No 1574

m case stands that the Municipality in reviewing the case, might not have expressed the facts in such a way as it ought to have done and thus this simple case must have become complicated

His Excellency the Dewan of Mysore

It would be a boon if case was decided 1980

old man like myself who cannot earn livelihood at any Most Unexpected time, at such a distressed time as this

I most humbly and respectfully beg to approach you with the following few lines, and the full hope that my case may be decided at least during your benign regime

I was a shareholder of the City Municipality Bangalore, who had to retire from the service, after a long service of more than 30 years, owing to the loss of my sight from the growth of cataract in the eyes

As the Municipality could not redress the wrongs they have done to me and could not settle my pension case for any length of time, I appealed to the Government in my petition, dated 5th November 1906 and addressed to the Dewan of Mysore The Government were pleased to redress some of the wrongs and in order that I may be given pension according to the rules vide Government order No 6294-5/Municipal 229-06-12 dated 6th January 1908 Accordingly I received from the Municipality an endorsement No 750/7-2-1908, dated 31st January 1908 informing me of the above Government Orders

Soon after this, the Municipality sent for me and took my thumb impression and other marks on my body for forwarding my Service Register etc , to the Comptroller for verification

Only so far as this is known to me and I have not received any reply since then

My case is a simple one I retired as an invalid and the Government were pleased to order that I might be given pension according to the rules To my misfortune, I have not got such a simple case decided even until now

I have to infer from the present conditions in which

my case stands that the Municipality, in representing my case, might not have expressed the facts in such a way as it ought to have done and thus this simple case must have become a complex one

It would be a boon, if my case was decided and a blind old man like myself who cannot earn livelihood was ordered to receive pension at such a distressed time as this

Under these circumstances, I most humply and respectfully request that as the case has been pending for the last 3 or 4 years, your excellency will be kind enough to send for all the papers concerned with my case and decide it at your earliest convenience

It is always the poor that are neglected, but I am sure that the poor are better attended to under your Benign Government

For which act of kindness I shall ever pray

I beg to remain,
Most Respected sir,
Your most obedient and humble servant,

(Sd) Shanbhogue Narayana

Gardener, Main Road No 5
Chamarajpet
Bangalore,
3rd May 1909

1908-09

From

The Deputy commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Dated 10th May 1909

Despatched

Received

General No.
S No 578

Enclosure No 1

Secretariat docket No.
10701
and 588-9740 of 28th April 1909

Forwarding copy of the claim
petition presented by
Nanjappa & another
Petitioners uncle of Ranjappa.

1908-09

From

The Deputy commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Dated 10th January 1909

Despatched

Received

General No
S No 578

Enclosure No 1

Secretariat docket No.
10701
and 5992-07-10 of 28th April 1909

Forwarded copy of the claim petition presented by Narsappa & Ramappa sons & uncle of Ranganna.

S 578 — D 8166

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 10th May 1909.

From

B J Hamilton and Rankine BA
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

K P Bhandrachara Iyer Esqre BA. BL
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

ml 589-07
SL-17-

With reference to your docket-st No 10701 ml 589-04. dated 28th April 1909 requesting to be furnished with a copy of the claim petition presented by Strangappa and Saranappa father and uncle respectively of Rangappa, I have the honor to forward herewith the copy of the said claim petition

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

ಚೆಂಗಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಅಮಲುದಾರ್ರವರ
ಪೆನಾಲಿ—

ಮೇಲಿಂದ್ದವರು ಶಾಲಾಲುರಾಜಿಚಾಲ್ಪಬಿ ಕಾಡೇಮೋಡಿಕ್ಕಲ್ಲಾರಾಗಿ
ತಪ್ಪುಮಾಡಿರುವನಾಕಾರಣಾಪ್ಪೆ- ಸುಪ್ರೀಂಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಿದ
ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಷನ್ ಅಂಗೀಕಾರವಾಗಿ

ಈ ಕೇಸ್ಸಿನ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಇತಿಹಾಸವೆನ್ನಂದರೆ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ
ಅಪರಾಧಿಯಾದ ಸುಬ್ಬು ಪರಾಂಜ್ಯೋತಿಯವರ
ಮೇಲೆ ದೆಂಡು ಕೇಸ್ಸಾಗಿ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನಿಂದ 19ನೇ ದಿವಸ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ
ಆಗ್ಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದೀಖಾನೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವವರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಂತೆ ಯಾವ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೂ
ನಮ್ಮ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿ
ಮತ್ತೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸುಬ್ಬು ಬಚ್ಚಿರಾಜ ಪೀಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೀಲು
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಲ್ಲಾ - ನಮ್ಮ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ - ಸಿ ಬಂದು ಇತರ ಮಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟ್ ಲಂಚಾವತಾರಗಳ
ಯಾವದೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕರಿನಂಬೇಶೂ ಅಪರಾಧಿಯಲ್ಲಾ ಅಪಾಸ್ಟ್
ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಸುಬ್ಬು ಪರಭಾರೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬಲುಚ್ಚಿಲ್ಲ-
ಮತ್ತೆ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯೂ - ಅವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಈಗ್ಗಲೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾಯ್ತು

ನಾಂಪ್ಲೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಂಡಿರಲು - ಅಮೇಲೆ ಸುಬ್ಬು
ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಅಪೀಲು ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಷನ್ ಬಾಕಿಯಿದ್ದಾಗ ಬಚ್ಚಿರಾಜ
ಮೋಡಿಕ್ಕಲ್ಲಾರಾಗಿ ಸುಬ್ಬು ಪರಾಂಜ್ಯೋತಿಯವರನ್ನು ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ

ಇಂಥಾ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂಕಾಯ್ದೆಯನ್ನ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ
ಕರಾಳವಾಗಿ ಬಳಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಹೆಸರಲ್ಲಿ
ಇನ್ನು ಉಳಿದಿರುವಂಥಾ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಜಮೀನನ್ನೂ ಕಬಳಿಸಲು
ಹೊರಟಿರುವ ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಖಂಡಿಸಿ ಇಂದು
ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ೫ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಫ್ರೀಡಂ ಪಾರ್ಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನಾ
ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಹಿತಿಗಳು,
ಚಿಂತಕರು, ಪ್ರಗತಿಪರ ಸಂಘಟನೆಗಳ ಮುಖಂಡರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.
ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ
ಈ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಖಂಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ವಿನಂತಿ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಗಳು,

ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ

'Copy'

Sen Asst Commr
Bangalore Division

G.R.S. 28]

File No 389-07
Serial No 15

Diary No. of letter replied to 8066

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Ml.)

Read— Para 3 of G. Govt: Progs: No- 6296-5 Ml 229-06-1 dated the 6th January 1906 directing that Narasimha, retires Shanbhog of the Bangalore City Municipality, be granted a pension under the rules with effect from the 10th August 1907

2) Letter No 7024 dated the 24th June 1908 from the Commissioner to the Govt: of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore stating that for reasons given

Bangalore, the 29. 6. 1908

Date of issue 8-7-1908

Signed by [illegible]

ORDER PASSED—No

and [illegible]

SUBJECT

wherein, it is the petitioner of Shambhog Narasappa and not Shambhog Narasappa himself that Govt a pension requesting the orders of Govt on the point.

3) Correspondence endng with letter S.578 dated 8.6.09 Com. May copy from the Dy Com., Bangalore dt

---

Order thereon. 2835/ml589-07 8.7.09

Govt are pleased to direct that the petitioner Shambhog Narasappa be granted a pension as a special case. Necessary

sd/
for Secy
9/7/09

To,

The President, Bangalore City
Municipal
& Dy Com. Bangalore dt
& Commissioner Mcl.

2 Encls

Endorsement

286

and 5897-1498-7-09.

Endorsement granted to Narasaiah, retired Sanitary of the Bangalore City Municipality, in reply to his Petition Regd No 7980 dated the 30th May 1909, praying that the question of granting him a pension may be decided early

The Petitioner is informed that orders have been issued to the Inspl President to grant him (Retn) (a pension as a special case) under the Rules with effect from the 10th Augt 1907

"By order"

Illegible
for Secy
23/6/09

Memo 287

Copy forwarded to Presidt, Bangalore City Mun. Cl. for information "By order"

Illegible
for Secy